## PROPOSED FOR CONSIDERATION AT FEBRUARY 2024 MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF REPRESENTATIVES

Title: Resolution Opposing Involuntary Individual Isolation of Youth in Carceral and Detention Settings

## **Main Motion:**

Main Motion: That Council receive the 2020 report of the Juvenile Justice Solitary Confinement Working Group entitled, "Uses of Individual Isolation of Youth in Juvenile Justice" and thank the working group for their important work. The 2019 Working Group members were: Apryl Alexander, Frank DiCataldo, Joel Dvoskin, Catherine Frizzle, Robert Kinscherff, Robert Morgan, and Jennifer Woolard.

That Council adopt the revised recommendations below, based on updates to the research and findings of the 2020 Report. The revision group members were: Craig Haney, Joel Dvoskin, Robert Kinscherff, and Apryl Alexander.

Consistent with APA's earlier position on this issue, the following specific recommendation provide that while Council continues to support "efforts to eliminate the practice" (APA, 2017), additional specificity is needed with respect to juvenile solitary confinement. Thus, Council adopts nine recommendations, based on a close examination of the best available science and practice in this area, enacts these recommendations as APA policy so that APA is positioned to advocate for approaches fostering positive, preventative youth development and behavior changes in adolescence and advocate against harmful involuntary individual isolation of juveniles in a carceral or detention settings. Those nine recommendations (followed by a list of references) are:

1) The APA recommends that the solitary or room confinement of juveniles—involuntary isolation of a juvenile in a locked cell or room—be prohibited,<sup>1</sup> except under truly exigent or emergency circumstances, in response to instances in which the juvenile is an imminent danger of serious physical harm to themselves or to others. Thus, the brief involuntary isolation of a juvenile from others must never occur unless it is truly necessary to achieve stabilization or protection of the youth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This is not intended to prohibit the widespread and routine practice of locking juveniles in their cells or rooms during non-waking hours.

and/or other persons from serious physical harm. In every instance, adequately trained staff must first employ alternative "best practices" methods for de-escalation, stabilization, protection, and/or risk-reduction.

- 2) This placement should be allowed to continue only as long as the danger itself persists, and/or the exigent circumstance continues, a duration that should be the shortest amount of time needed until these circumstances abate and the person can return to programming. Consistent with best practices (e.g., Annie E. Casey Foundation, 2014), APA recommends that this time should be limited to no more than four hours, except as explained in #3, during which time the juvenile should be continually monitored, ideally by qualified mental health staff.
- 3) In the exceedingly rare instance in which solitary confinement is deemed to be necessary beyond four hours, staff shall a) document the reasons for solitary confinement and the basis for the extension, the date and time the youth was first placed there, and when he or she is eventually released; b) develop an individualized plan that includes the goals and objectives to be met in order to integrate the youth to general population or transfer to a facility better equipped to address the juvenile's clinical, socialization, or other needs; c) interventions or treatments that have been attempted during their period of isolation; and d) obtain documented authorization by the facility superintendent or his or her designee every four hours thereafter.
- 4) Solitary confinement should never be used for punishment or disciplinary purposes, or for the protection of property. The separation of juveniles from others must never be a substitute for adequate staffing numbers, staff training, and supervisory and /or administrative support.
- 5) Every youth subjected to this extremely rare sanction should be told why this has occurred and reassured that immediate steps are being taken to remove them to a more appropriate alternative setting. Staff should engage with youth to develop an individualized plan so they can be released in the least amount of time possible. The staff and supervisors should understand and convey the fact that the goal is to

- support the juvenile's placement in a more appropriate setting (e.g., to general population) in as short a time as is possible.
- 6) Rather than relying on solitary confinement, juvenile carceral and detention facilities should always prioritize the use of evidence-based strategies for correcting undesirable behavior and enhancing positive youth development and learning experiences. Disciplinary infractions should signal a potential need for intensified assessment and/or intervention rather than deprivation of services already supporting the youth, include *enhanced* access to educational, medical, and mental health services.
- 7) These recommendations are intended to apply to what are sometimes termed "time outs" or "room placements." They, too, should only be used in response to imminent threats of harm to self or others, to provide opportunities for de-escalation or therapeutic intervention, and time-limited. That is, they must occur in conjunction with ongoing staff supervision, and subject to clear rationales and consistently implemented policies and practices that limit the duration of separation and preclude harsh conditions of confinement.
- 8) Youth of color are disproportionately subjected to harsh disciplinary sanctions (such as solitary confinement) and for longer periods of time. Continued research is needed in order to assess how institutional policies and practices contribute to disparities among racial/ethnic, LGBTQ, and other particularly vulnerable juvenile populations in use of such harsh sanctions. Further, institutions must examine needs for administrative and staff training (e.g., training on implicit biases, trauma-informed care, culturally-informed practices, range of negative consequences of unwarranted or harsh sanctions) to aid in reducing these disparities.
- 9) The APA recommends that existing deficiencies in the collection and reporting of reliable data with respect to the full range of disciplinary sanctions applied to juveniles and their stated rationales be addressed. This includes a recommendation that federal agencies (e.g., OJJDP) and/or state juvenile justice authorities keep accurate track of and

publicly report the frequency, prevalence, duration, conditions, and rationales for various forms of individual confinement.

## References

ACLU (2014). Alone and Afraid: Children Held in Solitary Confinement and Isolation in Juvenile Detention and Correctional Facilities. Retrieved on September 24, 2019 fromhttps://www.aclu.org/files/assets/Alone%20and%20Afraid%20COMPLETE%2 OFINAL.pdf

American Psychological Association (2017). *Solitary confinement of juveniles*. https://www.apa.org/about/gr/issues/cyf/solitary.pdf

Andersen, H. S., Sestoft, D., Lillebæk, T., Gabrielsen, G., Hemmingsen, R., & Kramp, P. (2000). A longitudinal study of prisoners on remand: Psychiatric prevalence, incidence and psychopathology in solitary vs. non-solitary confinement. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 102(1), 19–25.

Annie E. Casey Foundation (2014). *Juvenile detention alternatives initiative: Juvenile detention facility assessment*. Baltimore, MD: Annie E. Casey Foundation.

Arya, N. (2018). Getting to zero: A 50-state study of strategies to remove youth from adult jails. UCLA School of Law, at

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LLSF8uBlrcqDaFW3ZKo k3xpk DTmltV/view.

ASCA/Liman (2018, October). *Reforming restrictive housing: The 2018 ASCA-Liman nationwide survey of time-in-cell*. New Haven, CT: Association of State Correctional Administrators & Liman Center for Public Interest Law.

Birckhead, T. (2015). Children in Isolation: The Solitary Confinement of Youth. *Wake Forest Law. Rev*iew, 50, 1–71.

Bonner, R. L. (2006). Stressful segregation housing and psychosocial vulnerability in prison suicide ideators. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, *36*(2), 250–254.

Brodsky, S. L., & Scogin, F. R. (1988). Inmates in protective custody: First data on emotional effects. *Forensic Reports*, 1(4), 267–280.

Cloyes, K. G., Lovell, D., Allen, D. G., & Rhodes, L. A. (2006). Assessment of psychosocial impairment in a supermaximum security unit. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *33*, 760–781.

Cohen, F. (2006). Isolation in penal settings: The isolation-restraint paradigm. *Washington University Journal of Law and Policy*.

Cohen, F. (2008). Penal isolation: Beyond the seriously mentally ill. *The Disturbed Offender in Confinement*, 35(8), 1017–1047.

Cohen, F. (2012). Massachusetts DOC settles: Seeks reform of segregation for SMI inmates. *Correctional Mental Health Report*, *14*(3), 33–45.

Connolly, J.F. (2014). A Grounded Theory Analysis of Seclusion of Students with Disabilities in Schools (Paper 218) [Doctoral Dissertation, University of Rhode Island & Rhode Island College]. Open Access Dissertations. http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/oa diss/218

Deitch, M., Galbraith, A., & Pollack, J. (2012). *Conditions for Certified Juveniles in a Texas County Jail.* Lyndon B. Johnson School of Public Affairs, 3–4.

Dvoskin, J. A., Skeem, J. L., Novaco R. W., & Douglas K.S. (Editors) (2011). *Using social science To reduce violent offending*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Deo, P., Mirza, S., Puelo, M., Rygiel, N., & Wright, K. (2018). *The Solitary confinement of youth with mental health disabilities in New Jersey's adult prison system.* New Jersey Parents' Caucus Brief.

Eilperin, J. (2016). Obama bans solitary confinement for juveniles in federal prisons. *Washington Post*. Retrieved on March 27, 2019 from https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/obama-bans-solitary-confinement-for-juveniles-in-federal-prisons/2016/01/25/056e14b2-c3a2-11e5-9693-933a4d31bcc8\_story.html

Feierman, J., Lindell, K., & Eaddy, N. (2017). *Unlocking youth: Legal strategies to end solitary confinement in juvenile facilities.* Juvenile Law Center. https://jlc.org/sites/default/files/publication\_pdfs/JLC\_Solitary\_Report-FINAL.pdf Finke, L.M. (2001). The use of seclusion is not evidence-based practice. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 14, 186.

Forst, M., Fagan, J., & Vivona, S. (1989). Youth in prison and training schools: Perceptions and consequences of the treatment-custody dichotomy. *Juvenile and Family Court Journal*, 40, 1–14.

Grassian, S. (2006). Psychiatric effects of solitary confinement. *Journal of Law and Policy*, 22, 325–383.

Grassian, S. (n.d.). *Solitary confinement can cause severe psychiatric harm*. Publication source unknown.

Haney, C. (1993). Infamous punishment: The psychological effects of isolation. *National Prison Project Journal*, *8*, 3–21.

Haney, C. (2003). Mental health issue in long-term solitary and 'supermax' confinement. *Crime & Delinquency*, 49(1), 124.

Haney, C. (2009). The social psychology of isolation: Why solitary confinement is psychologically harmful. *Prison Service Journal*, 181, 12–20.

Haney, C. (2018). Restricting the use of solitary confinement. *Annual Review of Criminology*, 1, 285-310.

Hayes, L. (2009). *Juvenile suicide in confinement: A national Survey*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice Delinquency & Prevention, at <a href="https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ojjdp/213691.pdf">https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ojjdp/213691.pdf</a>.

Hayes, L. M., & Rowan, J. R. (1988). *National study of jail suicides: Seven years later*. National Center on Institutions and Alternatives: Alexandria, VA.

Hresko, T. (2006). In the cellars of the hollow men: Use of solitary confinement in U.S. prisons and its implications under international laws against torture. *Pace International Law Review*, 18(1), 1–27.

Human Rights Watch. (2012). *Growing up locked down: Youth in solitary confinement in jails and prisons across the United States.* Washington, D.C: ACLU.

Kaba, F., Lewis. A., Glowa-Kollisch, S., Hadler, J., Lee. D., Alper, H., Selling, D, MacDonald, R., Solimi, A., Parsons, A., & Venters, H. (2014). Solitary confinement and risk of self- Harm among jail inmates. *American Journal of Public Health*, 24, 442–447.

Katsiyannis, A., Ryan, J.B., Zhang, D., & Spann, A. (2008). Juvenile Delinquency and Recidivism: The Impact of Academic Achievement, Reading & Writing. *Quarterly*, 24(2), 177–196. DOI: 10.1080/10573560701808460

Kraner, N., Barrowclough, N., Weiss, C., & Fisch, J. (2016). *Jurisdiction Survey of Juvenile Solitary Confinement Rules in Juvenile Justice Systems*. Retrieved on March 27, 2019 https://www.lowenstein.com/media/2825/51-jurisdiction-survey-of-juvenile-solitary-confinement-rules-72616.pdf

Kuanliang, A., Sorensen, J., & Cunningham, M. (2008). Juvenile inmates in an adult prison system: Rates of disciplinary misconduct and violence. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 35, 1186–1201.

Kupers, T. (2008). What to do with the survivors? Coping with the long-term effects of isolated confinement. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *35*, 1005–1016.

Kutz, G.D. (2009, May 19). Seclusions and restraints: Selected cases of death and abuse at public and private schools and treatment centers [Testimony before the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives]. United States Government Accountability Office. https://www.gao.gov/new.items/d09719t.pdf

Lovell, D. (2008). Patterns of disturbed behavior in supermax population. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *35*, 985–1004.

Lovell, D., Johnson, L. C., & Cain, K. C. (2007). Recidivism of supermax prisoners in Washington state. *Crime and Delinquency*, *53*, 633–656.

Miller, H. A., & Young, G. R. (1997). Prison segregation: Administrative detention remedy or mental health problem? *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 7*(1), 85–94.

National Center for Education Statistics & the Bureau of Justice Statistics Indicators of School Crime and Safety. (2007). *Indicators of school crime and* 

safety.

http://nces.ed.gov/programs/crimeindicators/crimeindicators2007/intro.asp

Nat'l Conf. of State Legislatures, *Summary: States that limit or prohibit juvenile shackling and solitary confinement*, July 8, 2022, at <a href="https://www.ncsl.org/civil-and-criminal-justice/states-that-limit-or-prohibit-juvenile-shackling-and-solitary-confinement">https://www.ncsl.org/civil-and-criminal-justice/states-that-limit-or-prohibit-juvenile-shackling-and-solitary-confinement</a>

Picon, M., Sonja Siennick, S., Brown, J., & Mears, D. (2022). Tracing changes in behavior across the extended solitary confinement process. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 79, 101899.

Sedlak, A. J., & McPherson, K. S. 2010. *Conditions of confinement: Findings from the survey of youth in residential placement*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

Smith, P. S. (2008). "Degenerate criminals": Mental health and psychiatric studies of Danish prisoners in solitary confinement, 1870–1920. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *35*, 1048–1064.

Toch, H. (1975). Men in crisis: Human breakdowns in prisons. Chicago: Aldine Pub

The Council for Children with Behavioral Disorders. (2009). *CCBD's Position Summary on The Use of Seclusion in School Settings*. Council for Exceptional Children. https://higherlogicdownload.s3.amazonaws.com/SPED/bc40048c-cf24-4380- a493-

273ff305ca3c/UploadedImages/CCBD%20Position%20on%20Use%20of%20Seclusi on%207- 8-09.pdf

Valentine, C., Restivo, E., & Wright, K. (2019). Prolonged isolation as a predictor of mental health for waived juveniles. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, *58(4)*, 352-369.

Westling, D. L., Trader, B. R., Smith, C. A., & Marshall, D. S. (2010). Use of Restraints, Seclusion, and Aversive Procedures on Students with Disabilities. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, *35*(3–4), 116–127. https://doi.org/10.2511/rpsd.35.3-4.116

Ziedenberg, J. & Schiraldi, V. (1997). Risks juveniles face when they are Incarcerated with adults. San Francisco, CA: Juvenile Policy Institute.

Zgoba, K., Pizarro, J., & Salerno, L. (2020). Assessing the impact of restrictrive housing on inmate post-release criminal behavior. *American Journal of Criminal Justice*, 45, 102-125.