

Examining Psychology's Contributions to the Belief in Racial Hierarchy and Perpetuation of
Inequality for People of Color in the United States

Historical Review

August 19, 2021

DRAFT - NOT APA POLICY

Cummings Center Writing Group

Cathy Faye, Ph.D., Margaret Clark Morgan Executive Director, Cummings Center for the History of Psychology

cfaye@uakron.edu

Jennifer L. Bazar, Ph.D., Assistant Director, Cummings Center for the History of Psychology

jbazar@uakron.edu

Tony Pankuch, MLIS, Archives Assistant, Cummings Center for the History of Psychology

pankuch@uakron.edu

Kelli Vaughn-Johnson, M.A., Project Researcher, Cummings Center for the History of Psychology

kelli@kellismind.com

Working Group

Art Blume, Ph.D. Professor of Psychology, Washington State University

art.blume@wsu.edu

J. Manuel Casas, Ph.D. Professor Emeritus, University of California Santa Barbara

casas@education.ucsb.edu

Christine Iijima Hall, Ph.D., Retired Psychologist and Higher Education Administrator

haapijobs@gmail.com

Theopia Jackson, Ph.D. Program Director, Saybrook University Department of Humanistic and Clinical Psychology

tjackson@saybrook.edu

Frederick Leong, Ph.D., Professor of Psychology and Psychiatry, Michigan State University

fleong@msu.edu

Gina Philogene, Ph.D., Professor of Psychology, Sarah Lawrence College

gphiloge@sarahlawrence.edu

Wade Pickren, Ph.D., Independent Scholar

wpar29@gmail.com

Overview and Approach

In 2020, the American Psychological Association (APA) launched a series of efforts aimed at dismantling racism in psychology, in the APA, and in society more generally. As part of this effort, the Association sought a historical review of how psychology and the APA have harmed people of color since the formal institutionalization of U.S. psychology in the late 1800s. The goal of the review was to document the long history of harm to these communities, and to provide a resource that could inform APA's work on an apology and a path forward.

The Cummings Center for the History of Psychology (CCHP) at The University of Akron conducted the historical review. The CCHP is a research and humanities center that collects, preserves, and shares the history of psychology with a variety of audiences through the National Museum of Psychology and the Archives of the History of American Psychology. It is home to more than 3,000 linear feet of unpublished papers, artifacts, and media documenting psychology's history from the 1800s to the present.

The review was conducted by a writing group and a working group with psychologists from across the country. The writing group is comprised of Cummings Center staff and faculty, including three historians of psychology and an archives assistant with a background in American history and archival theory and practice. We have relied on primary and secondary sources in the history of psychology and related human sciences.

The writing group has relied on feedback from and discussion with a working group comprised of seven academics, including historians of psychology and psychologists with long and valuable experience with the field and its historical and present relationship with people of color. This working group represents many communities of color and has lived and studied psychology's history in relation to race, culture, ethnicity, class, and social and political issues. They have worked to create, preserve, and advance psychologies that emanate from, attend to, and serve communities of color.

The following review begins with a summary of our findings, followed by a chronology representing the historical data used to inform those findings. It is important to note that silences—moments when the field could have spoken or acted on behalf of communities of color but failed to do so—were difficult to include in the timeline format. It is also important to note that the data in the chronology is necessarily incomplete. It is nearly impossible to document every instance of harm in one chronology. The historical data is comprised of examples of harm that we and other historians have deemed most salient and impactful based on our assessment of the extent to which they serve as exemplars of repeated and prominent trends in the field's history and their degree of direct connection with organized psychology.

A Note on Language

In many places in this review, we have chosen to retain phrasing as it appears in the historical literature to demonstrate the ways in which people of color were in fact dehumanized through language used by psychology and other fields of inquiry. When using this language, we do so in quotations.

In all other cases, we use contemporary language, following APA's guidelines for writing about racial and ethnic identity (American Psychological Association, 2020).

DRAFT – NOT APA POLICY

Executive Summary

Psychologists contributed directly and indirectly to the belief in human hierarchies through scientific racism, defined as “the use of scientific concepts and data to create and justify ideas of an enduring, biologically based hierarchy” (Winston, 2020, p. 425). Results from a century’s worth of psychological studies of individual difference were interpreted as evidence of innate, hereditary difference in ability between racial and ethnic groups. Groups found to score differently on assessments designed by White psychologists and normalized on White populations were deemed inferior based on those results. These interpretations created and upheld existing racial stereotypes and prejudices against people of color and reinforced the belief in White supremacy. Such beliefs found strong support in the early 20th century among psychologists and other social scientists, particularly those involved in the eugenics movement. Psychological data was used by psychologists and others to justify social policies that harmed people of color, including racial segregation, diminished educational opportunities, restrictions on immigration, institutionalization, forced sterilization, and anti-miscegenation laws.

In the face of ongoing critiques of methods and practices that discriminated against people of color, psychologists often failed to listen, speak up, or create change. This is difficult to document in a historical study, but psychology’s history includes many critical moments of silence and inaction at times when proactive acts or speaking out were warranted. Historically, psychology has sustained and failed to challenge research, practice, and policy frameworks rooted in White normativity that support the continued belief in White superiority.

Finally, people of color have been historically underrepresented in the field of psychology in a multitude of ways, from educational and employment opportunities to gatekeeping (e.g., journal editorships, student supervision) and governance roles. In addition, issues of central concern to these communities have not been adequately addressed. Throughout our work, we were repeatedly confronted by the fact that the entire narrative of psychology—from textbooks to histories to journal articles—often excludes people of color and their voices. This chronology fails in this same manner: in an attempt to document the harms done to people of color, we often gave too much voice to White psychologists.

Our analysis of the historical record suggests that one of the central issues for U.S. psychology, both past and present, is its strong ties to hegemonic science and practice, which is rooted in the idea that certain ways of knowing, being, and doing are natural, normative, and progressive (Adams, Kurtiş, Gómez, Molina, & Dobles, 2018). Historically, psychology has accepted Whiteness as a standard or norm and presented other modes of being as marginal, unnatural, or in some way straying from the norm. Recently, this has appeared in the psychological literature as a WEIRD (Western, educated, industrial, rich, democratic) approach (Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010), but people of color have noted versions of it in the literature since at least the beginning of the twentieth century (Du Bois, 1903).

This chronology demonstrates ways in which research and practice have focused on White culture and used it as a global standard. This culture, evident from the very beginnings of the field, is inseparable from the social and political landscape of the United States at the end of the

nineteenth century. Organized psychology grew up in these conditions, helped to create and sustain them, and continues to bear their indelible imprint.

In sum, our historical review of psychology's harms to people of color indicates that psychologists:

- Established and participated in scientific models and approaches rooted in scientific racism;
- Created, sustained, and promulgated ideas of human hierarchy through the construction, study, and interpretation of racial difference;
- Promoted the idea that racial difference is biologically based and fixed;
- Used psychological science and practice to support segregated and subpar education for people of color;
- Created and promoted widespread use of psychological tests and instruments that discriminated against people of color;
- Failed to take concerted action in response to calls for an end to testing and psychometric racism;
- Supported the widespread use of educational assessments and interventions that were lucrative for the field of psychology, but harmed people of color;
- Provided ideological support for and failed to speak out against the colonial framework of the boarding and day school systems for First Peoples of the Americas;
- Created, sustained, and promoted a view of people of color as deficient or damaged.
- Served as early leaders of APA and as promoters of eugenics and used psychological science and practice to support its aims, including segregation, sterilization, and anti-marriage laws;
- Failed to represent the approaches, practices, voices, and concerns of people of color within the field of psychology and within society;
- Failed to respond or responded too slowly in the face of clear social harms to people of color

Psychology and the Belief in Racial Hierarchy: A Chronology

1850-1900

The formal institutionalization of U.S. psychology (as indicated by the creation of programs, departments, degrees, societies, and schools) occurred in the years surrounding the Civil War, centered on national debates about slavery; the passing of the Indian Appropriations Act, which removed First Peoples from their tribal lands to government reservations; the Chinese Exclusion Act, which suspended Chinese immigration; and the Supreme Court's *Plessy v. Ferguson* decision, which held that segregation laws did not violate the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. The formalized psychology established at this time was led primarily by White men and leaned heavily on evolutionary theory, with its emphasis on survival and adaptation of the species. The field almost immediately lent its support to the notion of White superiority, with its focus on demonstrating individual differences among different racial groups.

1869: Francis Galton, who was recognized as an early leader in psychology (among other professions) publishes *Hereditary Genius*, a central early event in the study of individual differences and psychometrics in European and U.S. psychology. In this work, he ranked the “comparative worth of different races” and concluded that “the average intellectual standard of the negro race is some two grades below our own” (Galton, 1869, pp. 336-338). In 1883, Galton would introduce the word “eugenics,” described as a science of improving “racial stock.” This work was heavily cited and used by the first generation of psychologists who established APA, the first recognized psychology journals, and the most influential research programs.

1892: The American Psychological Association is founded, with G. Stanley Hall as president and 31 White males elected to membership.

1895: One of the earliest examples of scientific racism—defined as the use of scientific concepts and data to create and justify ideas of an enduring biologically based hierarchy (Winston, 2020)—is published in the *Psychological Review*, one year after the journal is first established (Bache, 1895). First Peoples and Black participants were reported to have faster reaction times than White participants. The author argued that reaction time was a primitive reflex and that the superior, more evolved intelligence of White participants resulted in more contemplative thought and slower reaction times.

1897: Studies of racial differences continue to populate the pages of major journals. In a study of Black and White children, Stetson (1897) found that Black children outperformed White children on a memory task. The author attributed this to the greater mnemonic ability of their “primitive brains.” He further described Black children as being deficient in reasoning.

1897: APA funds a Committee on Physical and Mental Tests, its first financial commitment to an activity other than its own administration (Baldwin, Cattell, & Jastrow, 1898).

1900-1925

As U.S. psychology established itself in the early 1900s, the U.S. experienced the First World War, massive growth, and unprecedented immigration. More than 15 million new immigrants, the majority of whom arrived from southern and eastern Europe, came to the U.S. in the first quarter of the century. Anti-immigration sentiment increased, fueling the eugenics movement, which sought to “improve the human race” using principles of heredity. Scholars and lawmakers debated the “Negro Question,” which often focused on schooling and curriculum for people of color. Education was also a question at the forefront with First Peoples of the Americas, as more than 6,000 students were enrolled in federal boarding schools that attempted to replace First Peoples culture with an education that fit Anglo-American standards. Many psychologists continued to focus on individual differences among races and became actively involved in the eugenics movement, using their science to support eugenical aims.

1904: Psychologists run psychometric tests on 1100 people from different racial groups at the Louisiana Purchase Exposition (the St. Louis World’s Fair). Framed as an examination of “primitive” and “inferior” people, they concluded that the results clearly demonstrate the physical and mental superiority of the White race (Bruner, 1908; Woodworth, 1910).

1904: APA forms ad hoc committee on testing standards, forming the Association’s first committee on standards for apparatus, procedures, and results of group and individual tests. The resulting Committee on Measurement consists of early psychologists who frequently supported ideas of racial hierarchy: James R. Angell, Charles H. Judd, Walter B. Pillsbury, Car E. Seashore, and Robert S. Woodworth.

1904: G. Stanley Hall, founding president of APA, publishes the highly influential text, *Adolescence*. In it, he described First Peoples as childlike, with adults from this group being more similar to White children or adolescents in their development. Hall supported the development of “civilizing programs” tailored to the specific (misperceived) needs of First Peoples (Hall, 1904; 1905; Richards, 1997). Hall’s views were echoed by other psychologists during this period (e.g., Chamberlain, 1909).

1910: The Eugenics Record Office is established as a department of the Carnegie Institution of Washington’s Station for Experimental Evolution. It became the center for eugenics advocacy, research, and publication in the U.S. Leaders of organized psychology, including Edward Thorndike, Robert Yerkes, Arthur Estabrook, Paul Achilles, and Lewis Terman were founders and active members of this office. They also led and contributed to other eugenics-based organizations in this period, including the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, the Galton Society, and the American Eugenics Society (Zenderland, 2001). Through these groups, they promoted sterilization initiatives for “unfit and inferior races” and supported race-based immigration

policies and negative heredity laws (Bringham, 1923; Engs, 2005). Psychological tests were regularly used in the work of these organizations. Between 1892 and 1947, 31 presidents of APA acted in leadership positions in eugenics organizations, during their time as president, but also in the years surrounding their presidencies (Yakushko, 2019).

1913: A 70-page psychological monograph reports inferiority of school performance among Black children in integrated schools in New York, a finding the author attributes to “race heredity” (Mayo, 1913). Early papers like this set the tone and pattern for later work on difference and were used to argue against improved schooling opportunities for Black children (Richards, 1997).

1914: Psychologist William McDougall, writing in the *Eugenical Review*, lays out a plan for how experimental psychology can serve the goals of eugenics, focusing on the study of the hereditary basis of mental qualities (McDougall, 1914). McDougall, later head of the Department of Psychology at Harvard, argued in favor of restrictions on interracial marriage, claiming that mixed race individuals are mentally defective and that such mixing would produce a “race of submen” (McDougall, 1921, p. 132). He further argued for the creation of a separate territory for Black Americans to prevent “race amalgamation” (McDougall, 1925, p. 162).

1914: Psychological research, tests, and instruments are used at some boarding schools with First Peoples during this period. For example, a teacher at the Phoenix Indian School described psychological testing and research in education in the *Indian School Journal*, noting use of the early Binet scales and citing the work of psychologists J. Wallace Wallin and Stuart Appleton Curtis (Scott, 1914).

1914: Psychologist Henry Goddard, a pioneer of the American testing movement, serves as the psychology representative on the *Committee to Study and Report on the Best Practical Means of Cutting Off the Defective Germ-Plasm in the American Population*. The Committee, established by the Research Committees of the Eugenics Section of the American Breeders Association, recommended segregation and sterilization as the best methods of preserving “the blood of the American people” (Laughlin, 1914, p. 6). The Committee called on psychology to help determine standards and tests for identifying “mental degenerates and defectives proposed for sterilization” (p. 7). By 1930, 35,000 people in the U.S. had been sterilized, mostly individuals who had been deemed “feebleminded” or socially or mentally unfit (Greenwood, 2017). Many of these individuals were immigrants, Black people, First Peoples of the Americas, poor White people, and people with disabilities (Kevles, 1998).

1915: Psychologists leading the California Bureau of Juvenile Research at Whittier State School oversee some of the earliest eugenics fieldwork projects, examining the family trees and conducting psychological testing of boys confined at the institution. Psychologist J. Harold Williams, a student of Lewis Terman, directed the project and presented results on “White, Mexican, and Colored children.” Historians have documented the biased procedures and results of this project that harmed hundreds or perhaps thousands of youths incarcerated in California by increasing the incarceration rate of these youth and promoting sterilization (Chávez-García, 2015).

1916: Lewis Terman creates the Stanford-Binet Scale. He used differences on this scale as a justification for a segregated system of education geared toward building Black, Mexican, and First Peoples children into “efficient workers” (Terman, 1916), a proposal supported by other psychologists in the early twentieth century (Young, 1922). This model was adopted in predominantly Mexican American schools throughout the American Southwest (Gonzalez, 2013, p. 82).

1916: G. O. Ferguson (1916) publishes “The psychology of the Negro,” considered to be a classic example in the history of scientific racism (Guthrie, 1998). Ferguson linked performance (including reasoning, association, memory, and intelligence) with skin color and argued that Black people are more emotionally volatile, unstable, and less capable of abstract thought. Ferguson also espoused the prominent “mulatto hypothesis,” the idea that the mental characteristics of Black people were greater among those who had a higher proportion of “White blood.”

1917: APA President Robert Yerkes launches the Committee on the Psychological Examination of Recruits during the First World War. The Committee was created under the auspices of the National Research Council and headed by Yerkes, reporting to the Office of the Surgeon General. Yerkes and a team of psychologists recommended mental examination of every soldier and by the end of the War, they had administered psychological tests to nearly 2 million men. Culturally biased test questions and examination procedures, along with an assumption of White, American normativity, led to results that established a clear racial hierarchy of performance with White, American-born recruits scoring highest on IQ tests and Black recruits, particularly those from the American south, scoring the lowest. Eighty-nine percent of Black recruits were labelled by the Committee as “morons” (Kevles, 1968; Rury, 1988; Yerkes, 1921). These tests are the forerunners of the National Intelligence Test and the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT).

1917: During this time, APA president Robert Yerkes served as Chairman of the Committee on the Inheritance of Mental Traits at Cold Spring Harbor. He also served on the Committee on Eugenics of the National Commission on Prisons and the Board of Directors for the Human Betterment Foundation under eugenicist Paul Popenoe (Engs, 2005; Paul, 1965). In the years after the War, APA’s work in supporting the war effort and in “segregating the incompetent” was praised (Riley, 1919, p. 2).

1921: Many psychologists continue to examine racial difference and a formal definition of “racial psychology” appears in the *Journal of Applied Psychology*. Work contrasting “mixed” and “full blood” First Peoples on a battery of psychological tests concluded that those with “White blood” outperform those without (Garth, 1921).

1921: At the Second International Congress of Eugenics in New York City, APA president-elect Knight Dunlap hosts an exhibit on using psychology to better the human race both physically and mentally. The exhibit presented racial differences between White, Black, and First Peoples. Psychology journals like the *Journal of Applied Psychology* are exhibited alongside eugenics publications (Doyle, 2014).

1922: Many psychologists continue to support the idea of White superiority in both public and academic outlets. Psychologist James Rowland Angell, president of Yale University, delivered a series of addresses describing the hierarchy of the races, espousing White superiority, and discussing the low intelligence of “savages lowest in the human scale” (Angell, 1922, p. 115). This same year, the *Journal of Comparative Psychology* published research linking the degree of “White blood” in First Peoples to low intelligence test scores (Hunter & Sommermeier, 1922). Five years later, an additional study on intelligence and “White blood” among First Peoples was published in the same journal (Fitzgerald & Ludeman, 1927). In 1933, further research was published arguing that First Peoples children demonstrate more dishonesty than White children and describing the entire Navajo Nation as “notoriously dishonest” (Pressey & Pressey, 1933, p. 129).

1923: Lewis Terman, widely known for his promotion of eugenics and his belief in racial hierarchy, becomes president of the APA.

1924: The Johnson-Reed Act passes, limiting immigration from Southern and Eastern Europe and favoring immigrants from Northern and Western Europe. Italians, Greeks, and Eastern European Jews were heavily impacted. It extended a ban on Asian immigration. Psychological research was cited by eugenics leaders in congressional testimony leading up to passage of the Act. It was argued that immigrants from southern and eastern Europe were endowed with inherently poor genetic material and that restriction was needed to preserve American racial stock (Doyle, 2014; Laughlin, 1923).

1925-1950

The U.S. exited one war, contended with the Great Depression, and then entered another global conflict. During this time, communities of color around the United States began challenging the racist and exclusionary structure of the country. There were several challenges to segregated schooling, with cases arising regarding schooling for Black and Latinx children. First Peoples of the Americas continued to organize and dispute government control of lands and education, forming the National Congress of American Indians in 1944. Similarly, the Congress of Spanish Speaking People formed in 1939. The second World War resulted in massive growth in psychology across a wide variety of areas, including assessment and treatment of war-related trauma, as well as occupational classification, leading to close ties between psychology and the military. More people of color begin receiving Ph.D.'s in psychology and psychologists of color begin challenging the findings and practices of predominantly White mainstream psychology (Guthrie, 1998). Psychology grew and changed in unprecedented ways during this time and many psychologists began a public retreat from their explicitly stated views of White supremacy in the years following World War II. Nonetheless, leaders of psychological science and practice continued to support the idea of a natural human hierarchy to a greater extent than other social and behavioral sciences.

1926: Psychologists Stanley Porteus and Marjorie Babcock publish *Temperament and Race*, reporting on studies of Japanese, Chinese, Hawaiian, Portuguese, Filipino, and Puerto Rican people in Hawaii. The results reinforced stereotypes of these groups, and the authors argued that racial hierarchy and racial differences are rooted in genetics. Porteus continued to publish on this topic, and his work inspired further research in this area. In 1929, for example, Pratt compared the school achievement of Japanese, Chinese, Hawaiian, and Part-Hawaiian school children using achievement tests and reports that Hawaiians have lower achievement scores. The author concludes that Hawaiians are “by native abilities and interests, completely unfitted” to education (Pratt, 1929, p. 667).

1927: Research on racial differences continues. An article in the *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, a journal then edited by eugenicist psychologist Madison Bentley, compared First Peoples to White people and describes them as slower at decision making, less confident, and having poorer coordination of impulses (Downey, 1927). This is one of many examples of this kind of research during this time (see Garth & Barnard, 1927; Jamieson & Sandiford, 1928).

1927: The College Entrance Examination Board’s Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) is first administered. The test was developed by Carl Brigham, rooted in the work of military psychologists in the World War I testing program.

1932: George I. Sanchez, known as the founder of Chicano psychology, challenges biased research literature on the intelligence of Mexican-American children in a *Journal of Applied Psychology* article, “Group differences in Spanish-speaking children: A critical review.”

1933: Inez Beverly Prosser completes her doctorate in psychology from the College of Education at the University of Cincinnati, becoming one of the first African-American women to earn the degree.

1933: Psychologist Raymond Cattell argues against the “mixture of blood between racial groups” (Cattell, 1933, p. 155). A few years later, he declared that Black individuals have “contributed practically nothing to social progress and culture” and argued against their full citizenship (Cattell, 1937, p. 56). In 1972, he again argued that mixtures with inferior groups would result in “the intelligent maintainers of the culture being completely replaced by lower intelligences” (Cattell, 1972, p. 154).

1933: Black psychologists publish a number of studies countering the findings of White psychologists. Albert Beckham published a study of 1,100 schoolchildren, presenting results that suggest environment plays a central role in shaping intelligence scores (Beckham, 1933). Similar research was published by Howard Hale Long in 1935. In 1936, Herman Canady outlined the impact White examiners have on the test scores of Black test takers. Studies like these, conducted by leading Black psychologists, were often dismissed, and testing continued to be used to support ideas regarding innate racial hierarchy (see Guthrie, 1998; Richards, 1997).

1934: A survey indicates that 25% of psychologists believe that data supports the inherent mental inferiority of Black people (Thompson, 1934). This is compared to 24% of those working in education and 5% of sociologists and anthropologists.

1939: Mamie Phipps Clark and Kenneth Clark conduct experiments on children's perception of race, finding that children become aware of race at a very young age and are attuned to positive and negative attributes association with racial categories (Clark & Clark, 1939).

1943: A chapter on "The Hopi Child" describes delayed age of walking among the Hopi children compared to White children. This study is just one of many examples of social and behavioral scientists comparing people of color to White populations and using Whiteness as a cultural, racial, and normative standard (Dennis, 1943).

1950: Despite continuous concerns regarding cultural and racial bias in psychological testing (Canady, 1943), APA establishes the Committee on Test Standards, which issues technical recommendations for test administration and design that some believed did not adequately address race, ethnicity, and culture (Holliday & Holmes, 2003; Jackson, 1975; Simpkins & Raphael, 1970). The same year, the Graduate Record Examination (GRE) was published (Holliday & Holmes, 2003). One year later, anthropologist Allison Davis examined the effects of race and social class on test performance and reiterated ongoing critiques centered on the cultural bias inherent in common psychological tests. Davis noted few differences between groups when controlling for social class (Eels et al., 1951).

1951: Efrain Sanchez-Hidalgo is the first Puerto Rican to be awarded a Ph.D. in psychology, by Columbia University. In 1954, he becomes the founding president of the Puerto Rican Psychological Association.

1952: Carolyn Lewis Attneave becomes one of the first American Indians to earn a doctorate in psychology, from Stanford University.

1951-1975

The Civil Rights movement, played out against the backdrop of the Vietnam War, gained traction in the U.S., culminating in legislation such as the McCarran-Walter Act of 1952, the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Voting Rights Act of 1965. The famous 1954 *Brown v. Board of Education* Supreme Court decision rendered segregated schooling unconstitutional. Despite these gains, people of color continued to experience direct harm. The U.S. Congress continued to abolish tribal lands and relocate First Peoples of the Americas. However, continued organization and resistance among First Peoples during the Civil Rights era resulted in the McCarran-Walter Act that reinstated basic rights and returned some power to tribes. Asian Americans, though given citizenship through the 1952 Act, experienced hostility and came under suspicion during the McCarthy era. The Watts Uprising occurred in 1965, when 14,000 members of the National Guard were deployed to a primarily Black and impoverished neighborhood in Los Angeles to respond to the largest urban rebellion of the Civil Rights Era. Throughout this period, some leading psychologists continue to support eugenics and explore racial inferiority in their work. APA begins to grapple with the lack of diversity in the field, which continued to be dominated by White men. Many psychologists of color begin forming associations and societies of their own, expressing dissatisfaction with APA's attention to the concerns of communities of color.

1952: Former APA president Henry E. Garrett provides judicial testimony in support of segregation in *Davis v. County School Board*, a precursor to *Brown v. Board of Education* (Winston, 1998). He argued that segregation would not harm Black or White students if school facilities were equal. This idea was later echoed by the three judges who ruled in favor of continued segregation. Garrett's own research promoted the idea of an innate racial hierarchy until his death in 1973 (Garrett, 1973).

1954: *Brown v. Board of Education* is decided, ending legal segregation in the United States. The work and testimony of psychologists Mamie Phipps Clark and Kenneth Clark, along with other social science research, was used to support desegregation and cited in the final decision (Kluger, 1975). Some psychologists opposed desegregation. In 1963, psychologists testified in support of segregation in *Stell v. Savannah Board of Education*, a case brought to challenge the 1954 Brown decision (Jackson, 2005).

1958: Psychologist Audrey Shuey publishes *The Testing of Negro Intelligence*, summarizing existing work on racial differences and concluding that White people are innately superior to other races (Shuey, 1958).

1959: The International Association for the Advancement of Ethnology and Eugenics is founded. Throughout its history, several psychologists (including Henry Garrett, Stanley Porteus, Frank McGurk, Audrey Shuey, and Raymond Cattell) were involved in its leadership and led and contributed to its journal, *Mankind Quarterly* (Winston, 2020).

1962: Martha Bernal is the first Mexican-American woman awarded a Ph.D. in psychology, from Indiana University. In 1979, she helps to establish the National Hispanic Psychological Association. In 1979, she examines how APA-accredited clinical psychology programs prepare for treating multicultural populations and she finds the curricula inadequate.

1963: The APA Ad Hoc Committee on Equality of Opportunity in Psychology is established to review challenges in training and employment in psychology in relation to race. They found that there are few opportunities for training Black students, few opportunities for employment for Black psychologists, and little representation within APA governance and Central Office (Pickren & Tomes, 2002; Wispe et al., 1969).

1966: Psychologist Kenneth Clark becomes the first Black president of the American Psychological Association, 74 years after the organization was established.

1966: APA's first Standards for Educational and Psychological Tests and Manuals are published.

1966: Arthur McDonald becomes the first American Indian man to earn a doctorate in psychology, from the University of South Dakota.

1967: Psychological research continues to be used to justify "acculturation" in residential, boarding, and day school systems in hearings before the U. S. Senate's Special Subcommittee on Indian Education of the Committee on Labor and Public and Public Welfare (Indian Education,

1967). Psychologists were also employed at the schools into the 1970s (“Presentation of Navajo,” 1975).

1967: Psychological testing and research is cited to support anti-miscegenation laws in the Supreme Court case *Loving v. Virginia* (Tucker, 2004).

1967: Psychologist Henry E. Garrett testifies in opposition to the passage of the Civil Rights Act. Garrett argues that Black people cannot reach the intelligence levels or abilities of White people. He further argues that equal rights will only confuse and frustrate the Black community. Garrett, listed in the Congressional Senate hearing record as “past President, American Psychological Association,” was the only psychologist on record to testify (U.S. Government Printing Office, 1967).

1967: Head Start is launched by an interdisciplinary team and included psychologist Edward Zigler among its architects and early leaders. Some psychologists voiced opposition to the program, using SAT scores and other standardized test results to argue that compensatory education programs make no difference for people of color (Jensen, 1985).

1967: The Society for the Psychological Study of Social Issues, along with Kenneth Clark, invites Martin Luther King, Jr. to give an invited address at the annual APA convention (Pickren & Tomes, 2002).

1967: Psychologist Arthur Jensen delivers a pivotal address, “Social class, race and genetics: Implications for education,” to the American Educational Research Association’s annual meeting. The address reignites controversy over racial differences in intelligence.

1968: The Association of Black Psychologists (ABPsi) is formed when 75 Black psychologists leave APA, charging that APA had failed to address poverty, racism, and social concerns. (Holliday, 2009; Nelson, 1968). The group asks that APA help increase representation of Black psychologists in the field. ABPsi also called for a moratorium on testing, charging that such tests were culturally biased, racist, and unfair (Williams & Mitchell, 1978). In response, APA formed the *APA Ad Hoc Committee on Educational Uses of Tests with Disadvantaged Students*. The Committee issued a report acknowledging the problems with testing but claimed that the central problem was the misuse and misinterpretation of tests, not the tests themselves.

1969: Psychologist Arthur Jensen revives arguments of hereditary influence on racial differences in IQ scores. His work widely aided and supported racism (Jensen, 1969).

1969: The Black Student Psychology Association (BSPA) raises a series of demands from APA, emphasizing the need for recruitment, retention, and training of Black students and Black faculty. (Holliday & Holmes, 2003; Simpkins & Raphael, 1970). A resolution was submitted to the APA Council of Representatives calling for proportional representation of “minority” groups at all job levels in firms working with APA. A substitute resolution passed, specifying that APA would adopt a strategy of supplier diversity, aiming to work with firms that are actively trying to increase representation of minority groups in their organizations (Sawyer & Senn, 1973).

1970: The Association of Psychologists Por La Raza is founded at the annual APA convention.

1971: APA members Jack Sawyer and David J. Senn (1971) accuse APA of institutional racism, stating that APA had ignored systemic racism in the organizations with which it affiliates. They note that APA's largest printer, Lancaster Press, employed only a single Black employee, a man who served as the wash-up man in the pressroom (see also Pickren & Tomes, 2002).

1972: The Asian American Psychological Association is founded, inspired by the civil rights movement and the founding of ABPsi (Alvarez, Singh, & Wu, 2012).

1973: A national survey finds only 15 Chicano psychology faculty in a total of 1,335 psychology faculty. The survey also found only 51 Latino graduate students enrolled in master's programs in psychology and 37 in Ph.D. programs (Ramirez, 2004).

1974: APA sponsors the Vail Conference, leading to recommendations that any psychologist counseling persons of culturally diverse backgrounds must be trained and competent to work with such groups. This led to the establishment of several boards and committees, along with guidelines and further recommendations. However, few institutions offered training in this skill, and in 1977, fewer than 1% of psychologist counselor educators required students to study diverse cultures. Practitioners representing these groups called for specific ethical requirements and reflection of those requirements in accreditation and licensing (Casas, Ponterotto, & Gutierrez, 1986).

1974: The APA announces a Minority Fellowship Program, funded with a \$1 million grant from the National Institute for Mental Health Center for Minority Group Mental Health Programs.

1975: Psychological testing continues to be the subject of legal arguments. In *Abemarle Paper Co v. Moody* (1975), the Supreme Court ruled that intelligence tests used in employment testing discriminated against Black employees, and those tests must be proven to be reasonable measures of job performance (see also Holliday & Holmes, 2003).

1975: The Society of Indian Psychologists (SIP) is formed independently of the APA, merging the American Indian Interest Group and the Network of Indian Psychologists. The group was concerned with the lack of representation of First Peoples within APA (Gray, 2012).

1975-2020

Over the past 50 years, critics contend that U. S. psychology has failed to fully represent people of color and the concerns of communities of color. Furthermore, it has failed to address ongoing concerns about White normativity in psychology and the use of instruments and practices that discriminate against people of color. Between 1975 and the present, several guidelines and recommendations were issued, which do not have the force of professional ethics codes, and compliance remains voluntary. Separate associations and societies continued to be formed during this time to create space for psychologists of color and the issues that are central for communities of color.

1978: Thirty representatives of the Ethnic Minority Psychology Associations (EMPAs) convene with APA leadership to urge APA to provide a strong presence for ethnic minority concerns in the organization (Jones, 1999; Smith & Pickren, 2018; Sue, 1983). They recommended the formation of a Board of Ethnic Minority Affairs (BEMA). Council rejected this proposal, and APA formed the Office of Ethnic Minority Affairs. The following year, the APA Board proposed creating a standing bylaws committee instead of BEMA, but Council rejected this and put the issue to the membership (Conger, 1980). It passed, and APA established the Board of Ethnic Minority Affairs in 1980. In 1990, BEMA was sunset, and the Committee on Ethnic Minority Affairs took its place.

1979: Several organizations coalesce to form the National Hispanic Psychological Association, which later became the National Latinx Psychological Association.

1979: Congressional hearings for the Truth in Testing Act are held. White psychologists testified regarding the utility of ability-based scoring and the generalizability of testing. Black psychologists reiterated that standardized testing is problematic and discriminatory (US Government Printing Office, 1980). This same year, the Federal District Court of Northern California rules in favor of five Black students who had been placed in special education classes due to their performance on psychological tests. The case centered on the use of psychological tests and their role in blocking educational and economic opportunities for Black youth in California (*Larry P. v. Riles*, 1979).

1985: The APA, along with the American Educational Research Association and the National Council on Measurement in Education, issues a new set of *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*. This is the fifth set of standards spanning a thirty-year period. The new edition highlights rights and privileges of test takers and includes attention to non-native English speakers, along with race, ethnicity, and culture (Wagner, E. E.

1986: Logan Wright becomes the first American Indian to serve as president of APA, 93 years after the Association's founding (Gray, 2012).

1986: Division 45, the Society for the Psychological Study of Ethnic Minority Issues, was established to draw attention to issues related to ethnic minority psychology (Holliday & Holmes, 2003; Morales, Lau, & Ballesteros, 2012).

1990: Psychologist Arthur Jensen is invited as keynote speaker for the Society for General Psychology at the annual APA convention. He later became president of this division. This invitation came in the decade following the publication of *Bias in Mental Testing*, where Jensen argued that IQ tests are valid measures of intelligence and do not discriminate against Black test takers (Jensen, 1980).

1990: Throughout the 1990s and 2000s, people of color are grossly underrepresented in APA and in the field more generally (Bernal & Castro, 1994). They represented only 17% of members of boards and committees (primarily serving on public interest boards and committees) and only 6% of the Council of Representatives (Hall, 1997). During this time, people of color represent 26.3% of the U.S. population. In 2000, racial/ethnic minority psychologists represent 5.8% of

APA's total membership. This includes .3% American Indian, 1.7% Asian, 2.1% Hispanic, 1.7% Black, and <.1% Multiracial/Multiethnic. Numbers in the U. S. workforce were similar in 2000: 90 % White, 2% Asian, 5% Hispanic, and 2% Black (American Psychological Association, 2020). In a 2007 report, Division 45 summarized the number of awards given to racial/ethnic minorities, demonstrating that members of these groups are underrepresented among award recipients. They also note disparities in governance and Council participation, Fellow status, and distribution of NIH funding (Division 45 Science Committee, 2007).

1992: Reviews of APA's Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct find little mention of race and ethnicity (Hall, 1997). The following year, the APA issues Guidelines for Providers of Psychological Services to Ethnic, Linguistic, and Culturally Diverse Populations (American Psychological Association, 1993).

1994: Alice Chang becomes the first person of color to serve on APA's Board of Directors.

1997: Raymond Cattell is selected the winner of the APA Gold Medal Award for Life Achievement in Psychological Science. Many psychologists protested the award, charging that Cattell's work was racist, promoted White supremacy, and supported eugenics. APA delayed the award in order to form a committee to investigate the charges. The following year, Cattell withdrew his name from consideration. Cattell died in 1998 but remains one of the few psychologists (along with Arthur Jensen, Linda Gottfredson, and Richard Lynn) listed on the Southern Poverty Law Center's Extremist Files (Southern Poverty, n.d.; Tucker, 2005).

1999: Psychologist Richard Suinn is elected the first Asian American president of APA, more than a century after the Association's founding.

2003: APA files an amicus brief in support of the University of Michigan's right to consider race in admissions procedures in order to achieve a diverse student body. The Supreme Court ruled in favor of the University.

2005: Representatives of the EMPAs begin attending APA Council of Representatives meetings as observers. Council requests that APA Boards and Committees begin exploring bylaw changes to provide voting seats for EMPA representatives on Council. The issue went in front of Council, Boards and Committees, and the membership three times over 15 years, failing to pass. The bylaw change was finally approved by the membership in 2020 (American Psychological Association, 2012).

2011: Melba J. T. Vasquez is elected the first Latina president of APA.

2013: Members of racial/ethnic minority groups account for 16.4 percent of the psychology workforce, compared to 39.6 percent of the overall workforce and 25.8 percent of the doctoral/professional workforce (American Psychological Association, 2015).

2017: The American Arab, Middle Eastern, and North African Psychological Association (AMENAPsy) is established.

2018: Examining the editorship of six top-tier psychology journals from 1974 to 2018, researchers find that only 5% of editors were people of color (Roberts et al., 2020). The authors

chose these journals based on their strong reputation as top journals in social, cognitive, and developmental psychology.

2021: The APA Council of Representatives votes to adopt the APA Resolution on Racism: *Harnessing Psychology to Combat Racism: Adopting a Uniform Definition and Understanding.*

DRAFT – NOT APA POLICY

References

- Adams, G., Kurtiş, T., Gómez, L, Molina, L. E., & Dobles, I. (2018). Decolonizing knowledge in hegemonic psychological science. In N. N. Wane & K. L. Todd (Eds.), *Decolonial pedagogy: Examining sites of resistance, resurgence, and renewal* (pp. 35-53). Cham: Springer.
- Alvarez, A. N., Sing, A. A., & Wu, J. (2012). The Asian American Psychological Association: Parallels and intersections with counseling psychology. *The Counseling Psychologist, 40*, 646-655. doi: 10.1177/0011000012450416
- American Psychological Association (2012). *Council of Representatives agenda book, August 1 to August 3*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- American Psychological Association (2015). *2005-13: Demographics of the U. S. Psychology workforce*. <http://www.apa.org/workforce/publications/13-demographics>
- American Psychological Association (2020). *Demographics of U.S. Psychology Workforce [Interactive data tool]*. <http://www.apa.org/workforce/data-tools/demographics.aspx>
- Angell, J. R. (1922). *The evolution of intelligence. Lectures Delivered before the Yale Chapter of Sigma Xi, 1921-1922*. Yale University Press.
- Bache, R. M. (1895). Reaction time with reference to race. *Psychological Review, 2*(5), 475-486. doi: 10.1037/h0070013
- Baldwin, J. M., Cattell, J. M., & Jastrow, J. (1898). Physical and mental tests. *Psychological Review, 5*, 172-179.
- Beckham, A. S. (1933). A study of the intelligence of colored adolescents of differing social-economic status in typical metropolitan areas. *Journal of Social Psychology, 4*, 70-91. doi: 10.1080/00224545.1933.9921558
- Bernal, M. E., & Castro, F. G. (1994). Are clinical psychologists prepared for service and research with ethnic minorities? Report of a decade of progress. *American Psychologist, 49*(9), 797-805. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.49.9.797
- Brigham, C.C. (1923). *A study of American intelligence*. Princeton University Press.
- Bruner, F. G. (1908). *The hearing of primitive peoples: An experimental study of the auditory acuity and the upper limit of hearing of whites, Indians, Filipinos, Ainu and African Pigmies*. Doctoral dissertation, Columbia University.
- Canady, H. G. (1943). The problem of equating the environment of Negro-White groups for intelligence testing in comparative studies. *Journal of Social Psychology, 17*, 3-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1943.9712259>
- Casas, J. M., Ponterotto, J. G., & Gutierrez, J. M. (1986). Counseling research and training: The cross-cultural perspective. *Journal of Counseling and Development, 64*, 347-348.

- Cattell, R. B. (1933). *Psychology and social progress: Mankind and destiny from the standpoint of a scientist*. London, England: C. W. Daniel.
- Cattell, R. B. (1937). *The fight for our national intelligence*. P. S. King.
- Cattell, R. B. (1972). *A new morality from science: Beyondism*. Pergamon Press.
- Chamberlain, A. F. (1909). Note on some difference between ‘savages’ and children. *Psychological Bulletin*, 6(6), 212-214. doi: 10.1037/h0069629
- Chávez-García, M. (2012). 3. Mildred S. Covert: Eugenics Fieldworker, Racial Pathologist. In *States of Delinquency* (pp. 79-111). University of California Press.
- Clark, K. B., & Clark, M. P. (1939). The development of consciousness of self and the emergence of racial identification in Negro preschool children. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 10, 591-599. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.1939.9713394>
- Conger, J. J. (1980). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association, Incorporated, for the year 1979: Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council of Representatives. *American Psychologist*, 35(6), 501–536. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0078342>
- Dennis (1943). Dennis, W. (1942). The performance of Hopi children on the Goodenough Draw-a-man Test. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 34(3), 341-348. doi: 10.1037/h0055172
- Division 45 Science Committee (2007). Division 45 Science Committee report: Representation of racial/ethnic minority scientists (scientists-practitioners) within the American Psychological Association. *Focus*, Winter 2007, 11-14.
- Doyle, J. (2014). Measuring “problems of human behavior:” The eugenic origins of Yale’s Institute of Psychology, 1921-1929. *MSSA Kaplan Prize for Use of MSSA Collections*, 15. https://elischolar.library.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1065&context=mssa_collections
- Downey, J. E. (1927). Types of dextrality among Native American Indians. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 10(6), 478-488. doi: 10.1037/h0069041
- Du Bois, W. E. B. (1903). *The souls of black folks: Essays and sketches*. Chicago: A.C. Mclurg.
- Eells, K., Davis, A., Havinghurst, R. J., Herrick, V. E., & Tyler, R. (1951). *Intelligence and cultural difference*. University of Chicago Press.
- Engs, R. (2005). *The eugenics movement: An encyclopedia*. Greenwood Press.
- Ferguson, G. O. (1916). The psychology of the Negro: An experimental study. *Archives of Psychology*, 36, 1–138.
- Fitzgerald, J. A., & Ludeman, W. W. (1927). The intelligence of Indian children. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 64(4), 319-328. doi: 10.1037/h0071550
- Galton, F. (1869). *Hereditary genius: An inquiry into its laws and consequences*. Macmillan and Co.

- Garth, T. R. (1921). The results of some tests on full and mixed blood Indians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 5, 359-372. doi: 10.1037/h0071643
- Garrett, H. E. (1973). *IQ and racial differences*. Noontide Press.
- Garth, T. R., & Barnard, M. A. (1927). The will-temperament of Indians. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 11(6), 512-518. doi: 10.1037/H0071493
- Gonzalez, G. G. (2013). *Chicano education in the era of segregation*. University of North Texas Press.
- Gray, J. (2012). Society of Indian Psychologists: Honoring the ancestors, strengthening the future. *Communique*.
<http://www.apa.org/pi/oema/resources/communique/2012/11/american-indian-psychologists>
- Greenwood, J. (2017). Psychologists go to war. *Behavioral Scientist*.
<https://behavioralscientist.org/psychologists-go-war/>
- Guthrie, R. V. (1998). *Even the rat was white: A historical view of psychology*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Hall, G. S. (1904). *Adolescence: Its psychology and its relation to physiology, anthropology, sociology, sex, crime, religion, and education, Vol. 1*. D. Appleton and Company. doi: 10.1037/10616-000
- Hall, G. S. (1905). *Adolescence: Its psychology and its relation to physiology, anthropology, sociology, sex, crime, religion, and education, Vol. 2*. D. Appleton and Company. doi: 10.1037/14677-000
- Hall, G. S., & Chamberlain, A. (1912). *Journal of Religious Psychology*, 5. L. N. Wilson.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 33, 61-83. doi:10.1017/S0140525X0999152X
- Holliday, B. G. (2009). The history and visions of African American psychology: Multiple pathways to place, space, and authority. *Cultural diversity and ethnic minority psychology*, 15(4), 317-337. doi: 10.1037/a0016971
- Holliday, B. G., & Holmes, A. L. (2003). A tale of challenge and change: A history and chronology of ethnic minorities in psychology in the United States. In G. Bernal, J. E. Trimble, A. K. Burlew, F. T. L. Leong (Eds.), *Handbook of racial and ethnic minority psychology* (pp. 15-64). Sage Publications.
- Hunter, W. S., & Sommermeier, E. (1922). The relation of degree of Indian blood to score on the Otis Intelligence Test. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 2, 257-277.
- Indian Education: The Study of Indian Children. Hearings before the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare, 90th Congress (1967). (Testimony of John F. Byrde).
- Jackson, G. D. (1975). On the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Educational Uses of Tests with Disadvantaged Students: Another psychological view from the Association of Black Psychologists. *American Psychologist*, 30, 88-93. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.30.1.88>

- Jackson, J. P., Jr. (2005). *Science for segregation: Race, law, and the case against Brown v. Board of Education*. NYU Press.
- Jamieson, E., & Sandiford, P. (1928). The mental capacity of Southern Ontario Indians. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 19(8), 536-551. doi: 10.1037/h0073482.
- Jensen, A. R. (1969). How much can we boost IQ and scholastic achievement? *The Harvard Educational Review*, 39(1), 1–123. doi: 10.17763/haer.39.1.13u1595662742k7
- Jensen, A. R. (1980). *Bias in mental testing*. New York: Free Press.
- Jensen, A. R. (1985). The nature of the black-white difference on various psychometric tests: Spearman's hypothesis. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 8(2), 193-263. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X00020392
- Kevles, D. J. (1968). Testing the Army's intelligence: Psychologists and the military in World War I. *The Journal of American History*, 55(3), 565-581. doi: 10.2307/1891014
- Kevles, D. J. (1998). *In the name of eugenics: Genetics and the uses of human heredity*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Larry P. v. Riles, 495 F. Supp. 926 (1979). <https://law.justia.com/cases/federal/district-courts/FSupp/495/926/2007878/>
- Laughlin, H. (1914). *Report of the Committee to study and to report on the best practical means of cutting off the defective germ-plasm in the American population*. Bulletin No. 10A; 64. Eugenics Record Office.
- Laughlin, H. (1923). Analysis of America's modern melting pot: Hearings before the Committee on Immigration and Naturalisation, House of Representatives, Sixty-seventh Congress, Third Session, November 21, 1922. Statement by Harry H. Laughlin . (Serial 7-C.) Pp. 723–831. Washington: Government Printing Office.
- Mayo, M. J. (1913). The mental capacity of the American Negro. *Archives of Psychology*, 4(28), 70.
- McDougall (1913). McDougall, W. (1914). Psychology in the service of eugenics. *The Eugenics Review*, 5(4), 295-308.
- McDougall, W. (1921). *Is America safe for democracy?* New York, NY: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- McDougall, W. (1925). *The indestructible union*. Boston, MA: Little, Brown and Company.
- Morales, E., Lau, M. Y., & Ballesteros, A. (2012). Division 45: The Society for the Psychological Study of Ethnic Minority Issues. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 40(5), 699-709. doi: 10.1177/0011000012450425
- Nelson, B. (1968). Black Psychologists' Association makes proposals to APA. *Science*, 162(3850), 243-243.

- Paul, J. (1965). *Three Generations of Imbeciles are Enough: State Eugenic Sterilization Laws in American Thought and Practice*. Washington, D.C.: Walter Reed Army Institute of Research.
- Pickren, W. E., & Tomes, H. (2002). The legacy of Kenneth B. Clark to the APA: The Board of Social and Ethical Responsibility for Psychology. *American Psychologist*, *57*(1), 51-59. doi: 10.1037/0003D66X.57.1.51
- Pratt, H. G. (1929). Some conclusions from a comparison of school achievement of certain racial groups. *The Journal of Educational Psychology*, *20*, 661-668. doi: 10.1037/h0070412
- “Presentation of Navajo,” (1975, February 7). Presentation of Navajo Rug to Mrs. Ford, Background Information. Ford Committee on Mental Retardation. Records of Betty Ford White House Papers 1973-77, Record Group 309. National Archives at College Park, College Park, MD, United States.
- Pressey, S. L., & Pressey, L. C. (1933). Results of certain honesty tests given to a group of rural white children and to two groups of Indian children. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *17*(2), 120-129. doi: 10.1037/h0067621
- Ramirez III, M. (2004). Mestiza/o and Chicana/o psychology: Theory, research, and application. In R. Velazquez, L. Arellano, & B. McNeill (Eds.), *The Handbook of Chicana/o Psychology and Mental Health* (pp. 3-17). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Richards, G. (1997). *‘Race,’ racism and psychology: Towards a reflexive history*. Routledge.
- Riley, W. (1919). General reviews and summaries: Historical contributions. *Psychological Bulletin*, *16*(1), 1-3.
- Riley, W. (1919). General reviews and summaries: Historical contributions. *Psychological Bulletin*, *16*(1), 1-3.
- Roberts SO, Bareket-Shavit C, Dollins FA, Goldie PD, Mortenson E. Racial Inequality in Psychological Research: Trends of the Past and Recommendations for the Future. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2020;*15*(6):1295-1309. doi:10.1177/1745691620927709
- Sawyer, J., & Senn, D. J. (1973). Institutional racism and the American Psychological Association. *Journal of Social Issues*, *29*(1), 67-79. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.1973.tb00061.x
- Scott, C. L. (1914). Experimentation in education. *The Indian School Journal*, *14*(5), 1888-189.
- Shuey, A. M. (1958). *The testing of Negro Intelligence*. J. P. Bell.
- Simpkins, G., & Raphael, P. (1970). Black students, APA, and the challenge of change. *American Psychologist*, *25*(5), xxi-xxvi. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0037752>
- Smith, M. B., & Pickren, W. E. (2018). The American Psychological Association in relation to social responsibility and social justice. In W. E. Pickren & A. Rutherford (Eds.), *125 years of the American Psychological Association* (pp. 359-391). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. doi: 10.1037/0000050-012

- Southern Poverty Law Center. (n.d.) Extremist Files individual profiles.
<https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/individual/raymond-cattell>
- Stetson, G. R. (1897). Some memory tests of whites and blacks. *Psychological Review*, 4(3), 285-289. doi: 10.1037/h0069814
- Thompson, C. H. (Ed.) (1934). *The Journal of Negro Education*, 3(1).
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2292134>
- Tucker, W. H. (2004). "Inharmoniously adapted to each other": Science and racial crosses. In A. S. Winston (Ed.), *Defining difference: Race and racism in the history of psychology* (p. 109–133). American Psychological Association.
- Tucker, W. H. (2005). The racist past of the American psychology establishment. *The Journal of Blacks in Higher Education*, (48), 108-112.
https://www.jbhe.com/features/48_Cattell_case.html
- U.S. Government Printing Office (1967). Civil rights act of 1967: Hearings before the Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights of the Committee on the Judiciary, United States Senate, on S. 1026, S. 1318, S.1359, S. 1362, S. 1462, H.R. 2516 and H.R. 10805, proposed Civil Rights Act of 1967. (Washington, D. C., August 1, 8, 9, and 14; September 19, 20, 21, 26, and 27, 1967.)
- U.S. Government Printing Office. (1980) Truth in Testing Act of 1979. The Education Testing Act of 1979. Hearings before the Subcommittee on Elementary, Secondary and Vocational Education of the Committee on Education and Labor, House of Representatives, Ninety-Sixth Congress, First Session on H.R. 3564 and H.R. 4949 (Washington, D.C., July 31, August 1, September 10, September 24, and October 10-11, 1979).
- Wegner, E. E. (1987). A review of the 1985 *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing: User responsibility and social justice*. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 66, 202-203. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.1987.tb00849.x>
- Williams, R. L., & Mitchell, H. (1978). What Happened to ABPsi's Moratorium on Testing: A 1968 to 1977 Reminder. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 4(1–2), 25–42. doi: 10.1177/009579847800400104
- Winston, A. S. (1998). Science in the service of the far right: Henry E. Garrett, the IAAEE, and the Liberty Lobby. *Journal of Social Issues*, 54(1), 179-210. doi: 10.1111/00220-4537.00059
- Winston, A. S. (2020). Why mainstream research will not end scientific racism in psychology. *Theory & Psychology*, 30(3), 425-430. doi: 10.1177/0959354320925176
- Wispe, L., Awkard, J., Hoffman, M., Ash, P., Hicks, L. H., & Porter, J. (1969). The Negro psychologist in America. *American Psychologist*, 24(2), 142–150.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0027107>
- Woodworth, W. R. (1910). Racial differences in mental traits. *Science*, 31, 171-186. doi: 10.1126/science.31.788.171

- Yakushko, O. (2019). Eugenics and its evolution in the history of western psychology: A critical archival review. *Psychotherapy and Politics International*, 17(2), e1495. doi: 10.1002/ppi.1495
- Yerkes, R. M. (1921). *Psychological examining in the U. S. Army. Memoirs of the National Academy of Sciences, No. 15*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences.
- Young, K. (1922). *Mental differences in certain immigrant groups: Psychological tests of South Europeans in typical California schools with bearing on the educational policy and on the problems of racial contacts in this country* (Vol. 1, No. 11). The University California.
- Zenderland, L. (1998). *Measuring minds: Henry Herbert Goddard and the origins of American intelligence testing*. New York, NY

DRAFT - NOT APA POLICY